UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

l	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
J	v. ose Julio Palma-Marquez	Case No. 1:14-cr-00081-PLM
	Defendant	
	lucting a detention hearing under the Bail Re	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – F	indings of Fact
	endant is charged with an offense described federal offense a state or local offense	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 hich the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
ar	n offense for which the maximum sentence is	s death or life imprisonment.
ar	n offense for which a maximum prison term of	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	felony committed after the defendant had be S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 te or local offenses.
ar	ny felony that is not a crime of violence but in	ivolves:
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.	n or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250
(2) The offe or local		while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	d of less than 5 years has elapsed since the described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	s (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presu or the community. I further find that defenda	Imption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe int has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternati	ve Findings (A)
(1) There is	probable cause to believe that the defendar	nt has committed an offense
<u>C</u>	or which a maximum prison term of ten years controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et	
	nder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
· /	endant has not rebutted the presumption est conably assure the defendant's appearance	tablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition and the safety of the community.
<i>.</i> –		ve Findings (B)
	s a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	
(2) There is		ger the safety of another person or the community.
		f the Reasons for Detention
	the testimony and information submitted at to preponderance of the evidence that:	he detention hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing
2. Defendant is s	cted not to contest detention at this time. subject to an immigration detainer and would y bring the issue of his continuing detention	I not be released in any case. to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	May 19, 2014	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Timothy P. Greeley	
		Name and Title:	Timothy P. Greeley, U.S. Magistrate Judge	